		C	Case 2:08-mj-00316-MHB [	Document 12 Filed 08/	15/08 Pa	ge 1 of 3			
wo			UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT	COURT	FILED RECEIVED	LODGED		
		•		CT OF ARIZONA		TILOLIVED	COPY		
			Diotivi	OT OT THE LOTTE		AUG I	2008		
	UNITE	D STA	TES OF AMERICA			CLERK U S DIS	TRICT COURT		
			V.	ORDER OF	DETENT	QN PENDING	GATIRITAL DEPUTY		
		Franci	sco Serrano	Case Number: <u>08-00316M-003</u>					
	cordance stablishe		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I	conclude that the	following facts		
	=		onvincing evidence the defendant this case.	is a danger to the communi	ty and requir	e the detention of	the defendant		
X		reponder this case			equire the de	tention of the defe	endant pending		
				FINDINGS OF FACT					
	(1)		The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is						
			a crime of violence as defined in	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).					
			an offense for which the maxim	um sentence is life imprisoni	ment or deat	า.			
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in							
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	er the defendant had been co )(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	onvicted of twate or local of	o or more prior fe offenses.	ederal offenses		
	(2)		fense described in finding 1 was or local offense.	committed while the defenda	ant was on re	elease pending tria	al for a federal,		
	(3)	A perio	od of not more than five years honment) for the offense described	as elapsed since the (date lin finding 1.	of conviction	)(release of the o	defendant from		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.							
			Al	ternative Findings					
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe that	the defendant has committed	d an offense				
			for which a maximum term of in	nprisonment of ten years or i	more is preso	cribed in	. 2		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)						
	(2)	The de	efendant has not rebutted the prions will reasonably assure the ap	resumption established by for pearance of the defendant a	inding 1 that is required a	no condition or and the safety of the	combination of e community.		
			Al	ternative Findings					
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assurable appearance of the defendant as required					sonably assure		

(2) (3)

(4)

a prospective witness or juror).

No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.

There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or

<sup>(</sup>c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

2 Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## Case 2:08-mj-00316-MHB Document 12 Filed 08/15/08 Page 2 of 3

## PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

(2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  ☐ The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. ☐ The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. ☐ If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. ☐ The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:					
(2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:    The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.     The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.     If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.     The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.     The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.     The defendant has a prior criminal history.     The defendant lives and works in Mexico.     The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.     There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.     The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.     The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of     The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:							
(2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:    The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.     The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.     If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.     The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.     The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.     The defendant has a prior criminal history.     The defendant lives and works in Mexico.     The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.     There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.     The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.     The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of							
(2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:    The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.     The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.     If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.     The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.     The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.     The defendant has a prior criminal history.     The defendant lives and works in Mexico.     The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.     There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.     The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.     The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of							
I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:   The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.     The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.     If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.     The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.     The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.     The defendant has a prior criminal history.     The defendant lives and works in Mexico.     The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.     There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.     The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.     The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of							
I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:   The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.   The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.   If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.   The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.   The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.   The defendant has a prior criminal history.   The defendant lives and works in Mexico.   The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.   There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.   The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.   The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of							
The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	(2)						
If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.					
Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	X	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.					
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	X	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.					
to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	X	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate					
The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of							
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory ofincarceration and a maximum of  The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:  In addition:		The defendant lives and works in Mexico.					
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.					
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of  The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:  In addition:		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:  In addition:		•					
In addition:		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of					
In addition:		<del></del>					
	The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:					
	<del></del>						
The defendance and locate of determined in							
	1110 0	ordinant outstitude and issue of determining					

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

## PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>August 14, 2008</u>

MICHELLE H. BURNS
United States Magistrate Judge